

The Orissa Gazette



EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1211 CUTTACK, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 4, 2010/SRAVANA 13, 1932

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 22nd July 2010

No. 6007-1i/1(B)-97/1997-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the award, dated the 22nd April 2010 in I. D. Case No. 160 of 2008 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial dispute between the management of The Samaj, Gopabandhu Bhawan, Buxibazar, Cuttack and their workman Shri Jagannath Mishra represented through the General Secretary, Utkalmani News Paper Employees Association, Gopabandhu Bhawan, Cuttack was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE NO. 160 OF 2008

Dated the 22nd April 2010

Present :

Shri P. C. Mishra, o. s. j. s. (Sr. Branch)
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar.

Between :

The General Manager .. First Party—Management
The Samaj, Gopabandhu Bhawan
Buxibazar, Cuttack.

And

The General Secretary .. Second Party—Workman
Utkalmani Newspaper Employees
Association, Gopabandhu Bhawan, Cuttack.

Appearances :

For the both parties .. None

A W A R D

Originally, the Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department had referred the following dispute for adjudication by the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar vide its Order No. 8549—li-1(B)-97/1997-L. E., dated the 12th August 1998 but subsequently it transferred the dispute to be adjudicated by the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar vide its Order No. 4138—li-21-32/2007-L. E., dated the 4th April 2008 :—

“Whether the refusal of employment of Shri Jaganath Mishra, Sectional Head (Stores) with effect from the 29th December 1996 to work beyond 58 years of age is legal and/or justified ? If not, to what relief Shri Mishra is entitled ?”

2. In this case, both the parties after filing their respective claim statement and written statement did not appear on the dates of hearing. The second party workman has not tendered any evidence to substantiate his claim, so also the management. Under such circumstance, it is not possible to answer the reference in any manner. Hence, a no dispute award is passed in so far as the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. C. MISHRA

22-4-2010

Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar

P. C. MISHRA

22-4-2010

Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor

P. K. PANDA

Under-Secretary to Government